Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetasy



Tanveer Mahamadali Shaikh, Arumugam Sudalai*

Chemical Engineering & Process Development Division National Chemical Laboratory, Pashan Road, Pune 411 008, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 July 2009 Accepted 27 August 2009 Available online 26 September 2009

ABSTRACT

A high yielding enantioselective synthesis of the indolizidine alkaloid, (+)-lentiginosine, has been described based on asymmetric *aza*-Cope rearrangement and the L-proline catalyzed α -aminooxylation of aldehydes. The strategy also makes use of ring-closing metathesis for the construction of piperidine core.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tetrahedron

1. Introduction

Polyhydroxylated alkaloids such as (+)-lentiginosine 1, (-)swainsonine 2 and (+)-catanospermine 3 constitute a class of azasugars exhibiting potent and selective glycosidase inhibitory activities and are found to be useful as anti-cancer, anti-diabetic and anti-viral agents and immune stimulants.¹ For instance, (+)-lentiginosine 1, a dihydroxylated indolizidine alkaloid isolated from the leaves of Astragalus lentiginosis² was shown to be a selective inhibitor of amyloglucosidase, an enzyme that hydrolyses 1,4- and 1,6- α -glycosidic linkages.³ Due to the enormous biological importance as well as structural complexities of this class of compounds, numerous reports describing the synthesis of these natural products and their analogues have been published.⁴ Although the majority of the reported enantiospecific synthesis of (+)-lentiginosine relies mostly upon the chiral pool, the low-cost L-tartaric acid is the most widely employed since it allows the direct construction of the (1S,2S)-configuration of (+)-lentiginosine (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Structures of polyhydroxylated alkaloids.

2. Results and discussion

A retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-lentiginosine **1** is outlined in Scheme 1. Accordingly, (+)-lentiginosine **1** is envisaged to be prepared from α , β -unsaturated ester **4** via Os-catalyzed diastereose-

lective dihydroxylation. We further considered that the piperidine core in **4** could be constructed from **5** by ring-closing metathesis (RCM), which in turn may be obtained from the key intermediate **6**. The key intermediate **6** was planned to be synthesized by two routes involving proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation⁵ as well as via *aza*-Cope rearrangement.⁶

Firstly, our synthesis of (+)-lentiginosine 1 started with the protected aldehyde 7 prepared from the corresponding monoprotected 1,3-propanediol followed by selective oxidation with IBX in DMSO. The proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation of aldehyde **7** involved a two-step reaction sequence: (i) reaction of aldehyde 7 with nitrosobenzene as electrophile in the presence of L-proline (25 mol %) in CH₃CN at $-20 \circ C^{5a}$ followed by treatment with NaBH₄ in MeOH to give crude aminooxy alcohol (ii) subsequent cleavage of aminooxy moiety with 30% CuSO₄⁷ to yield chiral diol **9** in 54% yield. Selective protection of primary alcohol in 9 with mesyl chloride gave the corresponding mesylate which on treatment with K_2CO_3 in MeOH yielded the terminal epoxide **11**; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -5.3$ (*c* 4.5, toluene). Regioselective ring opening of epoxide 11 with vinyl magnesium bromide in the presence of CuI (40 mol %)⁸ in THF at -40 °C gave homoallylic alcohol 12, which was converted into mesylate 13. The nucleophilic displacement of mesylate 13 with NaN₃ in DMF at 80 °C yielded the corresponding azide **14** in 87% yield. The selective reduction of azide function in **14** using LiAlH₄ gave homoallylic amine **6** in 93% yield and >95% ee (by HPLC)⁶; $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25} = +7.4$ (c 1, CHCl₃). Since the L-proline-based route for obtaining key intermediate 6 involved several steps, an alternative strategy was developed, which focused on asymmetric aza-Cope rearrangement⁶ of aldehydes leading to the synthesis of homoallylic amines (Scheme 2).

In the second route, the synthesis of (+)-lentiginosine **1** commenced with benzyl-protected acetaldehyde **8**, which was subjected to an asymmetric *aza*-Cope rearrangement using the Kobayashi protocol⁶ [(CSA (10 mol %), **20** (1 equiv), NH₂OH-AcOH, 1,2-dichloroethane] to give exclusively (*S*)-homoallylic amine **6** in 87% yield and 96% ee (Scheme 3). Homoallylic amine **6** was protected as its Boc derivative **15** [(Boc)₂O, DMAP, Et₃N, and



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 02025902547; fax: +91 02025902676. *E-mail address:* a.sudalai@ncl.res.in (A. Sudalai).

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2009.08.027



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-lentiginosine.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) L-Proline (25 mol %), PhNO, CH₃CN, -23 °C, 24 h; then NaBH₄, MeOH, 0 °C, 1 h; (b) CuSO₄ (30 mol %), MeOH, 12 h, 54%; (ii) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (iii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, 91% for two steps; (iv) CH₂=CHMgBr, Cul (40 mol %), THF, -40 °C, 92%; (v) (a) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 45 min, 94%; (vi) NaN₃, DMF, 85 °C, 87%; (vii) LiAlH₄, THF, 0-25 °C, 93%.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) Camphorsulfonic acid (10 mol %), (1*R*,3*R*,4*S*)-3-allyl-3-amino-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one **20** (1 equiv), 1,2-dichloroethane, NH₂OH-AcOH, 25 °C, 24 h, 87%; (ii) (Boc)₂O, DMAP (10 mol %), Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 10 h, 95%; (iii) NaH, allylbromide, dry DMF, 0–25 °C, 5 h, 88%; (iv) Grubbs' 2nd generation catalyst (10 mol %), dry CH₂Cl₂, 20 h, reflux, 78%; (v) 10% Pd/C, H₂ (20 psi), MeOH, 25 °C, 8 h, 88%; (vi) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 93%; (vii) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Et, benzene, 50 °C, 14 h, 90%; (viii) OSO₄, NMO, 'BuOH:H₂O, 25 °C, 24 h; then TFA, 18 h, EtOH, reflux, 58%; (ix) LiAlH₄, THF, reflux, 12 h, 82%.

CH₂Cl₂] followed by N-allylation with allylbromide and NaH to give the RCM precursor **5** in 88% yield. Ring-closing metathesis⁹ of **5** using Grubbs' 2nd generation catalyst, (10 mol %) in refluxing dichloromethane proceeded smoothly to give dihydropyridine 16 in 78% yield. Catalytic hydrogenation of the resulting olefin coupled with the removal of the benzyl group resulted in piperidine alcohol 17 in 88% yield. The enantiomeric purity of 17 was determined to be 98% ee by HPLC analysis. The Swern oxidation of piperidine alcohol 17 produced piperidine carboxaldehyde 18 in 93% yield, which underwent Wittig olefination with stabilized salt (Ph₃P=CHCO₂Et) to give α , β -unsaturated ester **4** in 90% yield. The Os-catalyzed diastereoselective dihydroxylation¹⁰ of α , β -unsaturated ester **4** furnished the corresponding diol in 87% yield, which on in situ Boc deprotection followed by refluxing the crude mixture in EtOH produced indolizidinone **19** (dr = 60:40) which was purified by chromatography followed by recrystallization⁴⁰ to give a single diastereomer 19 in 58% yield. Finally, LiAlH₄ reduction of indolizidinone carbonyl in 19 was carried out that produced (+)lentiginosine **1** in 82% yield, whose stereogenic values (mp, ¹H and ^{13}C NMR, $[\alpha]_D,$ etc.) are in complete agreement with the reported synthesis. 4c,h,p,x,y

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, a short enantioselective synthesis of (+)-lentiginosine **1** has been described based on asymmetric *aza*-Cope rearrangement and L-proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation of aldehydes. Our route to (+)-lentiginosine emphasizes on an organocatalytic and metal-free approach demonstrating a shortest route to key intermediate homoallylic amine **6** and should hold promise for the synthesis of similar such alkaloids. The synthesis also involves RCM strategy for the construction of piperidine core.

4. Experimental

4.1. (R)-3-(Benzyloxy)propane-1,2-diol 9

To a pre-cooled (-20 °C) acetonitrile (50 mL) solution of aldehyde 7 (5.0 g, 30.4 mmol) and nitrosobenzene (1.68 g, 15.7 mmol) was added L-proline (0.49 g, 25 mol %). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the same temperature for 24 h, followed by the addition of MeOH (20 mL) and NaBH₄ (2.31 g, 60.9 mmol), which was stirred for 20 min. After the addition of saturated aq NH₄Cl, the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×60 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude aminooxy alcohol, which was directly used for the next step without purification. To a MeOH (50 mL) solution of the crude aminooxyalcohol was added Cu-SO₄·5H₂O (1.28 g, 5.1 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 10 h at this temperature. After the addition of the phosphate buffer, the resulting mixture was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (3 × 60 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude diol, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (6:4) to give diol 9 (1.5 g, 54%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +5.5$ (*c* 10, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.19–2.25 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.69–2.72 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.59-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.62-3.74 (m, 2H), 3.83-3.95 (m, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 7.29–7.39 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 63.7, 70.7, 71.3, 73.2, 127.7, 128.3, 137.5; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3684, 3615, 3472, 3020, 2927, 2400, 1602, 1521, 1455, 1424, 1216, 1094, 1051, 929, 850, 770, 660. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₃: C, 65.91; H, 7.74. Found: C, 65.89, H, 7.68.

4.2. (R)-2-(Benzyloxymethyl)oxirane 11

A solution of diol 9 (1.5 g, 8.2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) was treated with methane sulfonyl chloride (0.98 mL, 12.3 mmol) and Et₃N (2.29 mL, 16.48 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 35 min, the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 100 mL), washed with water and the combined organic phases were dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude mesylate 10, which was subjected to epoxidation without further purification. To a solution of mesylate 10 (1.96 g, 7.5 mmol) in MeOH (40 mL) was added anhyd K₂CO₃ (1.03 g, 7.5 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 1 h. After the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC), the mixture was evaporated and the residue was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 80 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (8:2) to give epoxide **11** (1.6 g, 91%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -5.3$ (*c* 4.5, toluene) ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.60–2.63 (dd, J = 2.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.77–2.82 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.15–3.22 (m, 1H), 3.39-3.47 (dd, / = 5.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.73-3.80 (dd, / = 2.9, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.51–4.65 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.1, 50.7, 70.6, 73.2, 127.6, 127.9, 128.3, 137.8; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 877, 985, 1216, 1387, 1452, 1476, 3018, 3435. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O₂: C, 73.15; H, 7.37. Found: C, 73.20, H, 7.29.

4.3. (R)-1-(Benzyloxy)pent-4-en-2-ol 12

Vinyl bromide (6.44 M in THF, 6 mL, 38.36 mmol) was added slowly to Mg (0.4 g, 19.1 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred for 10 min; then cooled to $-40 \,^{\circ}$ C and CuI (0.52 g, 30 mol %) was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -40 °C and a solution of epoxide **11** (1.5 g, 9.14 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was added. After being stirred for 3 h, the mixture was guenched with saturated ag NH₄Cl solution, extracted with diethyl ether (3×80 mL), washed with brine and the combined organic phases were dried over anhvd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (7:3) to give alcohol **12** (1.6 g, 92%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -2$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.21-2.29 (m, 1H), 2.34 (br s, 1H), 3.31-3.34 (dd, J = 7.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.5 (s, 2H), 5.06-5.16 (m, 2H), 5.71-5.92 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 37.8, 69.5, 73.2, 73.8, 117.5, 127.6, 128.3, 134.2, 137.8; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 1243, 1670, 2930, 3010, 3415. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₂: C, 74.97; H, 8.39. Found: C, 74.88, H, 8.27.

4.4. (R)-1-(Benzyloxy)pent-4-en-2-yl methanesulfonate 13

To a stirred solution of alcohol **12** (2.5 g, 13 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) was added Et_3N (3.6 g, 26.0 mmol) at 0 °C. After 10 min, methanesulfonyl chloride (1.5 g, 19.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was then stirred for another 1 h at 0 $^\circ C$ and brought to room temperature. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, it was quenched with water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL) washed with water, brine and dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄. The combined organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain crude mesylate 13, which was purified by column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (6:4) to give pure mesylate 13 (3.3 g, 94%) as a viscous liquid. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -4.8$ (c 0.84, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.47– 2.50 (t, J = 6.6, 13.3 Hz, 2H), 3.0 (s, 3H), 3.60–3.62 (t, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 4.51-4.58 (q, J = 11.7 Hz, 2H), 4.80-4.85 (m, 1H), 5.14-5.18 (m, 2H), 5.74-5.82 (m, 1H), 7.28-7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 36.2, 38.4, 70.8, 73.2, 81.0, 119.1, 127.6, 127.7, 128.3,

131.7, 137.2; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3085, 3031, 2867, 2360, 1647, 1456, 1357, 1174, 1116, 910, 781, 705, 649. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₈O₄S: C, 57.76; H, 6.71; S, 11.86. Found: C, 57.80, H, 6.69; S, 11.79.

4.5. 1-{[(S)-2-Azidopent-4-enyloxy]methyl} benzene 14

To a stirred mixture of crude methane sulfonate ester **13** (2 g, 7.3 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was added sodium azide (2.4 g, 37 mmol), and the reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 15 h. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, it was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL), washed with water, brine and dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄. The combined organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude homoallylic azide, which was purified by column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to give **14** (1.4 g, 87%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -7.3$ (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.26–2.33 (m, 2H), 3.48–3.61 (m, 3H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 5.08–5.18 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.89 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 35.2, 60.9, 72.06, 73.2, 118.1, 127.4, 127.6, 128.3, 133.4, 137.7; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 2959, 2112, 1650, 1216, 640. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅N₃O: C, 66.34; H, 6.96; N, 19.34. Found: C, 66.29, H, 6.89; N, 19.30.

4.6. Proline route: (S)-1-(benzyloxy)pent-4-en-2-amine 6

To a stirred mixture of LiAlH₄ (0.350 g, 9.21 mmol) in anhyd THF (20 mL) was added homoallylic azide **14** (1 g, 4.60 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 10 h. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, it was quenched with ice-cold water and 20% aq NaOH. This crude mixture was passed through anhyd Na₂SO₄ and washed thoroughly with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude homoallylic amine, which was purified by column chromatography using CHCl₃/MeOH (9:1) to give **6** (0.820 g, 93%) as colourless oil. [α]_D²⁵ = +7.4 (*c* 1, CHCl₃) {lit.⁶ [α]_D²⁵ = +7.1 (*c* 1.14, CHCl₃)}.

4.7. Aza-Cope rearrangement: (*S*)-1-(benzyloxy)pent-4-en-2-amine 6

To a solution of chiral amine 20^6 (2.072 g, 10 mmol) and aldehyde 8 (1.5 g, 10 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (20 mL) was added camphorsulfonic acid (0.232 g, 10 mol %) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at the 0 °C for 24 h. Then, a solution of HONH₂·AcOH in methanol [0.5 M, 2 mL, prepared from HONH₂·HCl, NaOH (solid, 1 equiv), and AcOH (1 equiv) in methanol] was added to the solution. After being stirred at 50 °C for 3 h, the mixture was cooled to 25 °C, acidified with 1 N aq HCl (pH 1). The mixture was washed with dichloromethane $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, basified with 6 N aq NaOH (pH 10), and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined solvent layers were dried over anhyd Na₂CO₃, filtered, and evaporated to give amine 6. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 1:1) to give pure amine **6** (1.65 g, 87%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +7.1$ (c 1.46, CHCl₃), {lit.⁶ $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +7.1$ (c 1.14, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.6 (s, 2H), 1.96-2.10 (m, 1H), 2.16-2.30 (m, 1H), 3.0-3.12 (m, 1H), 3.23-3.31 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.42-3.48 (dd, J = 4.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (s, 1H), 4.97–5.13 (t, J = 10.3 Hz, 2H), 5.67–5.87 (m, 1H), 7.31-7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 38.6, 50.2, 73.1, 75.1, 117.3, 127.5, 128.2, 135.0, 138.1; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3418, 3297, 3020, 1618, 761, 670. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₇NO: C, 75.35; H, 8.96; N, 7.32. Found: C, 75.29, H, 8.91, N, 7.28.

4.8. tert-Butyl (S)-1-(benzyloxy)pent-4-en-2-ylcarbamate 15

To a solution of amine **6** (4 g, 20.9 mmol) in dry CH_2CI_2 (40 mL) were added dry Et_3N (4.3 mL, 31.38 mmol, (Boc)₂O (5.47 g,

25.2 mmol) and DMAP (0.255 g, 10 mol %), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, it was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 60 mL), washed with brine and dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (7:3) to give carbamate **15** (5.8 g, 95%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -5.8 (c \ 0.86, CHCl_3);$ ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.64 (br s, 1H), 2.29–2.36 (m, 2H), 3.40–3.53 (m, 2H), 4.49–4.51 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 5.01–5.12 (m, 2H), 5.65–5.86 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.35 (m, 5H);¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 28.2, 36.3, 49.8, 71.0, 73.0, 79.1, 117.5, 127.5, 128.2, 134.4, 138.0, 155.4; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3750, 3690, 3021, 2928, 2357, 1708, 1425, 1216, 764, 670. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₅NO₃: C, 70.07; H, 8.65; N, 4.81. Found: C, 70.11, H, 8.59; N, 4.78.

4.9. tert-Butyl allyl-(S)-1-(benzyloxy)pent-4-en-2-ylcarbamate 5

To a solution of NaH (0.494 g, 12.35 mmol) in dry DMF (30 mL) was added Boc-protected amine 15 (3 g, 10.29 mmol) at 0 °C. After 15 min, allylbromide (1 mL, 12,35 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 6 h at 25 °C. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, it was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, washed with brine and dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (9:1) to give 5 (3 g, 88%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +2.05$ (*c* 1.46, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.43 (s, 9H), 2.29–2.37 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.44–3.61 (m, 2H), 3.71–3.81 (m, 2H), 4.05-4.31 (m, 1H), 4.46-4.48 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 4.99-5.11 (m, 4H), 5.63–5.88 (m, 2H), 7.30 (s, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 28.2, 34.1, 47.2, 55.1, 70.9, 72.7, 79.2, 115.1, 116.1, 127.3, 128.1, 135.0, 136.0, 138.1, 155.4; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3780, 3697, 3633, 3019, 2932, 2361, 1682, 1216, 1104, 762, 670. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₉NO₃: C, 72.47; H, 8.82; N, 4.23. Found: C, 72.50, H, 8.79; N, 4.19.

4.10. (*S*)-*tert*-Butyl-6-(benzyloxymethyl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2*H*)-carboxylate 16

Olefin 5 (1 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) and the solution was degassed by bubbling with argon for 10 min. Then, Grubbs' 2nd generation ruthenium catalyst (256 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 22 h at 50 °C and then cooled and the solvent was evaporated to give the residue, which was then directly purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (8:2) to give dihydropyridine **16** (0.720 g, 78%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +20$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.66–1.70 (m, 1H), 2.01-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.31-2.48 (m, 1H), 3.34-3.50 (m, 3H), 4.05-.24 (m, 1H), 4.49–4.53 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 5.56–5.74 (m, 2H), 7.29– 7.32 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 25.2, 28.2, 40.4, 45.9, 68.7, 72.5, 72.9, 79.4, 122.4, 123.1, 127.3, 128.1, 128.9, 138.1, 155.0; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3443, 2978, 1685, 1413, 1227, 1115, 1028, 909, 698, 648. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₅NO₃: C, 71.26; H, 8.31; N, 4.62. Found: C, 71.30, H, 8.29; N, 4.58.

4.11. (S)-tert-Butyl 2-(hydroxymethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate 17

Dihydropyridine **16** (0.5 g, 1.65 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (25 mL), 10% Pd/C (38 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred under H₂ (20 psi) atmosphere for 8 h. The mixture was then filtered over Celite, washed with MeOH (2×20 mL) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford alcohol **17**, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (7:3) to give **17** (0.320 g, 88%).

Chiral column: CHIRALCEL OD-H, length 25 cm, wavelength: 230 nm, flow rate 1.0 mL/min. Mobile phase: 5% isopropyl alcohol in hexane; ee = 98.4%; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -40.1$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃), {lit.¹¹ $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -40.5$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃)}; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.51–1.76 (m, 6H), 2.11 (br s, 1H), 2.78–2.92 (m, 1H), 3.5–3.6 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.96 (m, 2H), 4.23–4.34 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 18.9, 24.4, 24.9, 28.0, 39.5, 51.7, 60.0, 79.1, 155.5; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3442, 2940, 2890, 1655, 1422, 1370, 1280, 1170, 1150, 1060, 1050, 870. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₁NO₃: C, 61.37; H, 9.83, N, 6.51. Found: C, 61.40, H, 9.79, N, 6.49.

4.12. (*S*)-*tert*-Butyl 2-[*E*-2-(ethoxycarbonyl)vinyl] piperidine-1-carboxylate 4

To a stirred solution of oxalvl chloride (0.825 g. 6.48 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of DMSO (0.760 g. 9.72 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. followed by the addition of a solution of alcohol 17 (0.7 g, 3.24 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). After stirring for 1 h at -78 °C, the reaction was quenched by the addition of Et₃N (1.8 mL, 12.96 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 20 min. followed by the addition of water (20 mL). The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 30 mL), dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the corresponding crude aldehyde 18 in 0.650 g (93%), which was subjected to Wittig olefination without purification as follows. To a solution of aldehyde 18 in dry benzene (20 mL) was added Ph₃P=CHCO₂Et (1 g, 3.0 mmol) and the reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 12 h. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, it was cooled to 25 °C, extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL), washed with water, brine and dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc (8:2) to give the unsaturated ester **4** (0.6 g, 90%) as a gum. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -77.5$ (*c* 1.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.25–1.32 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.50-1.84 (m, 6H), 2.73-2.87 (m, 1H), 3.94-4.0 (d, *I* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12–4.23 (q, *I* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 5.73– 5.82 (dd, I = 2.1, 13.7 Hz, 1H), 6.79–6.89 (dd, I = 4.0, 12.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.0, 19.6, 25.0, 28.1, 28.7, 39.8, 51.5, 60.2, 79.6, 121.8, 147.2, 154.8, 160.0; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 2940, 2862, 1730, 1700, 1665, 1440, 1410, 1370, 1310, 1280, 1270, 1160, 1050, 870, 770. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₅NO₄: C, 63.58; H, 8.89; N, 4.94. Found: C, 63.60, H, 8.90, N, 4.89.

4.13. (1S,2R,8aS)-Hexahydro-1,2-dihydroxyindolizin-3(5H)-one 19

To a solution of tert-butyl alcohol (5.0 mL) and water (5.0 mL) in THF (5 mL), 4-methylmorpholine N-oxide (0.39 g, 3.34 mmol) and OsO₄ (0.02 g, 0.1 M solution in toluene, 5 mol %) were added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. A solution of ester 4 (0.5 g, 1.76 mmol) in THF (3.0 mL) was added. After 24 h, the reaction mixture was treated with Florisil (2.0 g), and NaHSO₃ (1.0 g) and stirring was continued for 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), filtered through Celite and the filtrate was distilled in vacuo to give a mixture of diols. This crude mixture of diol was stirred in 10 mL of TFA for (Boc deprotection) 10 h and evaporated solvent in vacuo followed by refluxing this mixture in ethanol for 6 h gave indolizidinone 19 as 60:40 ratio (80% combine yield, determined by ¹H and ¹³C NMR). These compounds were separated by repeated recrystallization followed by flash column chromatographic purification on silica gel (CHCl₃/MeOH/Et₃N, 30:68:2) to give pure indolizidinone **19** in 58% yield. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +55.2$ (*c* 1, MeOH), {lit.^{4h} $[\alpha]_D^{21} = +52.3$ (*c* 1.99, MeOH)}; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 0.94–1.09 (m, 1H), 1.12–1.22 (m, 2H), 1.28-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.57-1.91 (m, 1H), 2.55-3.08 (m, 1H), 2.55-3.08 (m, 1H), 3.41-3.47 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78-3.94 (m, 2H); 13 C NMR (50 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 25.0, 25.9, 30.4, 41.8, 64.2, 71.2, 72.5, 172.0; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 1685, 1450, 1365, 1280, 640. Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₃NO₃: C, 56.13; H, 7.65; N, 8.18. Found: C, 56.20, H, 7.59, N, 8.20.

4.14. (+)-Lentiginosine 1

To a stirred solution of (0.1 g, 0.584 mmol) of indolizidinone 19 in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C was added lithium aluminum hydride (0.044 g, 1.16 mmol). The suspended mixture was stirred at 65 °C for 12 h, cooled to 0 °C, diluted with 2 mL of THF, and then carefully treated successively with water, 10% aq NaOH. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h and filtered through pad of sodium sulfate and filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl₃/MeOH, 8:2) to give 0.075 g of pure lentiginosine **1** as a colourless solid 82%. Mp 103–105 °C [lit.^{4p} mp 103–104 °C]; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +3.0$ (c 0.4, MeOH) {lit.⁴ $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +3.1$ (c 0.31, MeOH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, D₂O): δ 1.15–1.89 (m, 7H), 1.99–2.07 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.62 (dd, J = 11.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.68-2.79 (dd, J = 11.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.90–2.93 (br d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.46–3.60 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.03–4.20 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, D₂O): δ 23.9, 24.8, 28.4, 53.5, 61.1, 69.4, 76.5, 83.8; IR (CHCl₃ cm⁻¹): 3525, 3515, 3021, 3012, 2932, 2857, 1443, 1210, 1130. Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₅NO₂: C, 61.12; H, 9.62; N, 8.91. Found: C, 61.20, H, 9.57, N, 8.78.

Acknowledgements

TMS thanks CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of senior research fellowships. The authors are also thankful to Dr. B. D. Kulkarni, Head, CEPD for his constant support and encouragement.

References

- (a) Pearson, M. S. M.; Mathe-Allainmat, M.; Fargeas, V.; Lebreton, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 2159–2191; (b) Stutz, A. E. Iminosugars as Glycosidase Inhibitors: Nojirimycin and Beyond; Wiley, 1999; (c) Lillielund, V. H.; Jensen, H. H.; Liang, X.; Bols, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 515–554; (d) Dwek, R. A.; Butters, T. D.; Platt, F. M.; Zitzmann, N. Nat. Rev. 2002, 1, 65–75; (e) Asano, N. Glycobiology 2003, 13, 93R; (f) Watson, A. A.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Asano, N.; Molyneux, R. J.; Nash, R. J. Phytochemistry 2001, 56, 265–295; (g) Asano, N.; Nash, R. J.; Molyneux, R. J.; Fleet, G. W. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 1645–1680; (h) Mehta, G.; Ramesh, S. Can. J. Chem. 2005, 83, 581; (i) Laventine, D. M.; Jenkins, P. R.; Cullis, P. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2295–2298; (j) Robinson, K. M.; Begovic, M. E.; Rhinehart, B. L.; Heineke, E. W.; Ducep, J.-B.; Kastner, P. R.; Mashall, F. N.; Danzin, C. Diabetes 1991, 40, 825–830.
- Pastuszak, I.; Molyneux, R. J.; James, L. F.; Elbein, A. D. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 1886–1891.
- (a) Walker, B. D.; Kowalski, M.; Goh, W. C.; Kowarsky, K.; Krieger, M.; Rosen, W. C.; Rohrschneider, L. R.; Haseltine, W. A.; Sodroski, J. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1987**, *84*, 8120–8124; (b) Karpas, A.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Dwek, R. A.; Petursson, S.; Namgoong, S. K.; Ramsden, N. G.; Jacob, G. S.; Rademacher, T. W. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1988**, *85*, 9229–9233; (c) Winkler, D. A.; Holan, G. J. Med. Chem. **1989**, *32*, 2084–2089.
- (a) Yoda, H.; Kitayama, H.; Katagiri, T.; Takabe, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1455–1456; (b) Gurjar, M. K.; Ghosh, L.; Syamala, M.; Jayasree, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8871-8872; (c) Brandi, A.; Cicchi, S.; Cordero, F. M.; Frignoli, R.; Goti, A.; Picasso, S.; Vogel, P. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 6806-6812; (d) Giovannini, R.; Marcantoni, E.; Petrini, M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5706-5707; (e) Nukui, S.; Sodeoka, M.; Sasai, H.; Shibasaki, M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 398-404; (f) McCaig, A. E.; Meldrum, K. P.; Wightman, R. H. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 9429-9446; (g) Yoda, H.; Kawauchi, M.; Takabe, K. Synlett 1998, 137-138; (h) Ha, D.-C.; Yun, C-S.; Lee, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 621-623; (; (i) Yoda, H.; Katoh, H.; Ujihara, Y.; Takabe, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2509-2512; (j) Rasmussen, M. O.; Delair, P.; Greene, A. E. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5438-5443; (k) Chandra, K. L.; Chandrashekhar, M.; Singh, V. K. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4630-4633; (1) El-Nezhawy, A. O. H.; El-Diwani, H. I.; Schmidt, R. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 4137-4142; (m) Rabiczko, J.; Urbanczyk, L. Z.; Chmielewski, M. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 1433-1441; (n) Sha, C-K.; Chau, C-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 499-501; (o) Feng, Z.-X.; Zhou, W.-S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 497-498; (p) Raghavan, S.; Sreekanth, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 565-570; (q) Ayad, T.; Genisson, Y.; Baltas, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 2626-2631; (r) Cardona, F.; Moreno, G.; Guarna, F.; Vogel, P.; Schuetz, C.; Merino, P.; Goti, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6252-6255; (s) Kim, I. S.; Zee, O. P.; Jung, Y. H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8,

4101–4104; (t) Chaudhari, V. D.; Kumar, K. S. A.; Dhavale, D. D. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 4349–4354; (u) Cardona, F.; Goti, A.; Brandi, A. Eur, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 1551–1565; (v) Chen, M.-J.; Tsai, Y.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6271–6274; (w) Chandrashekhar, S.; Vijaykumar, B. V. D.; Pratap, T. V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2008, 19, 746–750; (x) Alam, M. A.; Vankar, Y. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5534–5536; (y) Lauzon, S.; Tremblay, F.; Gagnon, D.; Godbout, C.; Chabot, C.; Mercier-Shanks, C.; Perreault, S.; DeSeve, H.; Spino, C. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6239–6250; (z) Shi, G.-F.; Li, J.-Q.; Jiang, X.-P.; Cheng, Y. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 5005–5012.

(a) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Hibino, K.; Shoji, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 8293; (b) Zhong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4247; (c) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Sumiya, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 43, 1112; (d) Brown, S. P.; Brochu, M. P.; Sinz, C. J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003,

125, 10808; (e) Cordova, A.; Sunden, H.; Bøgevig, A.; Johansson, M.; Himo, F. Chem. Eur. J. **2004**, *10*, 3673.

- 6. Sugiura, M.; Mori, C.; Kobayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11038.
- 7. Momiyama, N.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6038.
- 8. Felpin, F.; Lebreton, J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 9192.
- (a) Grubbs, R. H.; Miller, S. J.; Fu, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 446; (b) Davies, S. G.; Iwamoto, K.; Smethrust, C. A. P.; Smith, A. D.; Rodriguez-Solla, H. Synlett 2002, 1146–1148; (c) Pandit, U. K.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Borer, B. C.; Bieraugel, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 5, 959; (d) Wright, D. L. Curr. Org. Chem. 1999, 3, 211; (e) Phillips, A. J.; Abell, A. D. Aldrichim. Acta 1999, 32, 75; (f) Maier, M. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2073.
- 10. Dondoni, A.; Merino, P.; Perrone, D. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 2939.
- 11. Sanchez-Sancho, F.; Herradon, B. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1951–1965.